## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request consideration of the subject application.

This Response is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed March 22,

2007. Claims 1-45 are pending. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16-19, 21, 22, 24-27, 29,

30, 34-39 and 41-45 are rejected and 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 23, 31-33, 38 and 40 are

objected to. In this Amendment, claims 17-19 and 41-42 have been amended. No

new matter has been added.

## 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 17-19, 24, 41 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 – 19 and 41 have been amended to clarify the subject matter being claimed. In the aforementioned claims, it is now stated that the four mutually perpendicular side walls are extended to form the intermediate column in the enclosure. Support for this can be found at page 6, line 13 of the specification. Because claim 24 depends on claim 18, claim 24 has been correspondingly clarified with the amendment made to claim 18. Claim 42 has been amended to correct the antecedent objection.

Susimin Suprapmo, et al. Application No.: 10/764,172

Applicants, accordingly, respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

## 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5, 7, 11, 12, 14, 21, 27, 29, 30, 35 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaper (U.S. Patent No.: 3,327,808, hereinafter "Shaper"). The Examiner has rejected claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaper, claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaper in view of Button (U.S. Patent No.: 5,533,132, hereinafter "Button"), claims 16 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaper in view of Takenaka (U.S. Patent No.: 6,078,676, hereinafter "Takenaka"), claims 25, 26, 36 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaper in view of Tanaka, et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,025,474, hereinafter "Tanaka"), claims 39, 41 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poling, et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 7,039,212, hereinafter "Poling") in view of Button and claims 43 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poling in view of Button.

Applicants do not admit Poling is prior art and reserve the right to swear behind Poling at a later time. Nevertheless, Applicants submit the pending claims are patentable over the cited art.

Susimin Suprapmo, et al. Application No.: 10/764,172

Examiner: Dionne Pendleton Art Unit: 2615 Claims 1 – 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 21, 22, 25-27, 29, 30, 35 and 36-38

an enclosure, as claimed in independent claims 1 and 29. Figure 3 of Shaper shows a longitudinal cross sectional partition of a speaker system. The acoustic

Shaper does not teach or suggest an acoustic extension being mounted to

extension of Shaper is part of the speaker system and is not mountable. As such,

a user is unable to mount an acoustic extension for an operative connection to an

interior of a speaker enclosure with the speaker disclosed in Shaper.

One of skill in the art would not modify Shaper to arrive at the presently

claimed invention because there is no teaching or suggestion in Shaper to

separate an acoustic extension from a main enclosure. Shaper describes

improving bass response in speakers with moderate sized cavities (column 1, line

9-11). Separating an acoustic extension from a main enclosure would be

contrary to the disclosure/teaching of Shaper, because having a separate acoustic

extension would not be desirable in instances where speakers with moderate

sized cavities are required.

Thus, Shaper fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations of independent

claims 1 and 29.

Susimin Suprapmo, et al. Application No.: 10/764,172

Examiner: Dionne Pendleton
Art Unit: 2615

- 16 -

Claims 39, 41 and 43-45

The examiner submits Figure 3 of Button and the related disclosure teaches most of the features of claim 39. Applicants disagree. Claim 39 requires the acoustic extension be mounted to the enclosure externally, and not just located at an external portion of the enclosure as shown in Figure 3 of Button. Mounting the acoustic extension to the enclosure internally affects how the acoustic extension is operatively connected to the interior of the enclosure. Button fails to teach or suggest as claimed in independent claim 39: separating the acoustic extension from the main enclosure; and reattaching or remounting the acoustic extension. Poling also fails to teach or suggest the above limitation.

Thus, the cited art fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations of independent claim 39.

Thus, the cited art fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations of independent claims 1, 29 and 39. Claims 2-28, 30-38 and 40-45 depend, directly or indirectly, from one of the foregoing independent claims. Applicants, accordingly, respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103.

Susimin Suprapmo, et al. Application No.: 10/764,172

Examiner: Dionne Pendleton

Art Unit: 2615

- 17 -

Allowed/Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner indicated that claims 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 23, 28, 31-33 and 40

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants thank the Examiner for

the indicated allowability of claims 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 23, 28, 31-33 and 40.

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition

for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite

or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to

call Jennifer Hayes at (408) 720-8300.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account

No. 02-2666. Any necessary extension of time for response not already requested

is hereby requested. Please charge any corresponding fee to Deposit Account

No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP

Date: <u>June 22, 2007</u>

1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040 (408) 720-8300

Art Unit: 2615